Assessment Matrix



PROGRAM OR SCHOOL	RAM OR SCHOOL Master of Library and Information Science					
Assessment Coordinator	or Name: Mary K. Biagini Email : biagini@pitt.edu Pl					
for Program or School						
Program or School	The mission of the School of Information Sciences is to support and advance the broader					
Mission Statement	education, research and service mission of the University by educating students, furthering					
	knowledge, and contributing our expertise to advance humankind's progress through informa					
Program or School Goals	 The Library and Information Science Degree Program offers a professional degree at the master's level for students who have earned a baccalaureate-degree in order to prepare them to enter the information professions as librarians or archivists. Upon completion of the degree, graduates will be able to: Draw effectively upon the ethics, values, principles, knowledge and history of library an information science and other related disciplines; Apply the principles of the information life cycle (selection, organization, dissemination and preservation); Advance the intelligent and ethical applications of information technologies; Apply the principles of management (planning and assessment, budgeting, huma resources development and evaluation) to various functions in information environments Plan, implement, evaluate and advocate for reference and user services to meet th needs of diverse users; Promote the ideals of open access to information and of intellectual freedom; Understand and apply research in library and information science; and Demonstrate a commitment to the advancement of the information professions throug advocacy, continuing education and lifelong learning. 					
	Understanding Information and LIS which of the eight specializations t courses and a choice of electives. The faculty is considering that in th work demonstrating how they are n competencies are already required Program, and students in other specialization	brogram are required to complete two S 2600 Introduction to Information Te he student has selected, the student ne term of graduation, students will pr meeting these outcomes. Portfolios of d of students completing the School L ecializations (except the Archives, Pr are a portfolio of work samples to me braries.	chnologies. Depending c takes other required repare a portfolio of their of demonstrated ibrary Certification eservation and Records			

Learning Outcomes What will students know and be able to do when they graduate?	Assessment Methods How will the outcome be measured? Who will be assessed, when, and how often?	Standards of Comparison How well should students be able to do on the assessment?	Interpretation of Results What do the data show?	Use of Results/Action Plan Who reviewed the finding? What changes were made after reviewing the results?
Outcome 3: Advance the intelligent and ethical applications of information technologies Students will be able to: Identify and explain the concepts of open access to information through the use of various information technologies that illustrate the socio-economic aspects that relate to scholarly publishing	Using a faculty-developed rubric, two faculty members will examine a representative sample of essays from students enrolled in LIS 2000 Understanding Information in which students read Albert-Laszlo Barbasi's <i>Linked: How Everything is</i> <i>Connected to Everything</i> <i>Else and What it Means,</i> Christine Borgman's <i>Scholarship in the Digital</i> <i>Age: Information,</i> <i>Infrastructure, and the</i> <i>Internet,</i> and David Weinberger's <i>Everything Is</i> <i>Miscellaneous: the Power</i> <i>of the New Digital Disorder,</i> and write a 2,500-word essay explaining the socio- economic aspects of open access as related to scholarly publishing Rubric used by assessors: Exceeds expectations as set forth in the instructor rubric = 3 Meets expectations as set forth in the instructor rubric = 2 Does not meet expectations as set forth in the instructor rubric = 1 This assessment will be conducted biannually	85 % of the sampled essays written by students in LIS 2000 will meet or exceed expectations to demonstrate an understanding of the socio- economic aspects of open access as related to scholarly publishing.	Assessment was made by two LIS faculty members who do not teach this course. These faculty members assessed essays by 43 students selected at random from among the 209 students who registered for and completed the course LIS 2000 in Fall Term 2009 . Of these 43 essays assessed, the two faculty found that: 7 essays exceeded expectations (16%) 31 essays met expectations (72%) 5 essays did not meet expectations (12%) 88% of the essays assessed met or exceeded the expectations, thus exceeding the standard of comparison set by the LIS faculty of 85%.	The LIS faculty reviewed the finding (data results) and was encouraged by the results. In the previous year (2008- 2009), the faculty had identified reading and writing critically as key skills for MLIS students to succeed in the program. As a result, a section of the two-day orientation for new MLIS students was devoted to "critical writing competencies." The majority of new MLIS students participated in this session (offered 3 times to smaller groups) and all new students had access to and were encouraged to view a Panopto video of the session available online. Because this assignment represents the first critical analysis the new students write, the faculty believe there should be a more descriptive rubric for the students to follow and that a "draft" option should be promoted for the first paper to help students with the concept of critical writing as an iterative process.

Learning Outcomes What will students know and be able to do when they graduate?	Assessment Methods How will the outcome be measured? Who will be assessed, when, and how often?	Standards of Comparison How well should students be able to do on the assessment?	Interpretation of Results What do the data show?	Use of Results/Action Plan Who reviewed the finding? What changes were made after reviewing the results?
	beginning in Spring Term 2010 based on the offering of LIS 2000 from Fall Term 2009. The essays of approximately 20% of the students who completed the course will be included in the assessment. (In Fall Term 2009, 209 students were enrolled)			
Outcome 7: Understand and apply research in library and information science. Students will be able to write a comparative analysis of a publication from the professional or research literature on information and assess the author's findings by using supporting examples from the text.	Using a faculty-developed rubric, four faculty members will examine a representative sample of critical assessments of professional research publications written by students in LIS 2000 Understanding Information.Rubric used by assessors: Exceeds expectations as set forth in the instructor rubric = 3Meets expectations as set forth in the instructor rubric = 2Does not meet expectations as set forth in the instructor rubric = 1This assessment will be conducted biannually beginning in Spring Term 2010 based on the previous Fall Term's offering of LIS 2000. The papers of approximately 20% of the students who	85% of the sampled comparative analyses written by students in the Fall Term LIS 2000 course in odd numbered years will meet or exceed expectations identified in the rubric of demonstrating critical writing, understanding of research findings and using examples to support critical opinion.	Assessment was made by four LIS faculty members who do not teach this course. These faculty members assessed 47 papers selected at random from among the 209 students who registered for and completed the course LIS 2000 in Fall Term 2009 (22%). Of these 47 papers assessed, the four faculty found that: 24 papers exceeded expectations (51%) 20 papers met expectations (43%) 3 papers did not meet expectations (6%) 94% of the papers assessed met or exceeded the expectations, thus exceeding the standard of comparison set by the LIS faculty of 85%.	In Fall and Spring Terms 2009- 2010, all instructors made an effort to emphasize critical writing skills in each course and to incorporate these skills into grading rubrics. The LIS faculty adopted the <i>Chicago Manual of</i> <i>Style</i> as its standard to encourage students to use a citation system with ease and confidence. The Information Sciences Library staff offered multiple small-group instruction sessions on using RefWorks to help students with citations. The LIS faculty will expand the time spent on "critical writing competencies" in the Fall 2010 orientation for new MLIS students to emphasize the importance of gathering and evaluating information effectively and appropriately.

Learning Outcomes What will students know and be able to do when they graduate?	Assessment Methods How will the outcome be measured? Who will be assessed, when, and how often?	Standards of Comparison How well should students be able to do on the assessment?	Interpretation of Results What do the data show?	Use of Results/Action Plan Who reviewed the finding? What changes were made after reviewing the results?
	complete the course will be included in the assessment. (In Fall Term 2009, 209 students were registered)			
Outcome 2: Apply the	Using a faculty-developed	85% of the sampled projects	Assessment was made by two LIS	
principles of the	rubric, two faculty members	produced by students in LIS	faculty members who do not teach	In Feb. 2010, the LIS faculty
information life cycle	will examine a representative	2600 will meet or exceed	this course. These faculty	developed a document
(selection, organization,	sample of projects from	expectations to demonstrate	members assessed electronic	identifying Information
dissemination and	students enrolled in LIS 2600	using screen-capture	demonstrations by seven students	Technology needs of instructors
preservation).	Introduction to Information	software, configuring a Web	selected at random from among	and students (that is now being
	Technologies in which	browser and producing a	the 33 students who registered for	discussed at the School level)
	students use research and	learning module delivered from a network-based	and completed the course LIS 2600 in Summer Term 2009	that articulated objectives that will provide a competitive
	collaborative tools Jing, Zotero, and RefWorks to	service.	(21%).	advantage for students.
Students will be able to	produce a learning module	Service.	(2170).	advantage for students.
use research, collaborative	from a network-based		Of these 7 demonstrations	The LIS faculty will use the
and social networking tools	service.		assessed, the two faculty found	results of this assessment as it
to generate and to share			that:	develops a revised, refocused
content electronically	Rubric used by assessors:		2 demonstrations exceeded	and more challenging core
through their blogs	Exceeds expectations as		expectations	course in technology as
	set forth in the instructor		(29%)	discussed at meetings on April 7
	rubric = 3		5 demonstrations met	and 23, 2010. The faculty is
			expectations	planning a series of on-line
	Meets expectations as		(71%)	modules to help students build
	set forth in the instructor		0 demonstrations did not meet	their technology skills before
	rubric = 2		expectations (0%)	beginning the program so that a newly formulated core course
	Does not meet		(078)	can begin at a higher conceptual
	expectations as set forth		100% of the demonstrations	level.
	in the instructor rubric = 1		assessed met or exceeded the	
			expectations, thus exceeding the	
	This assessment will be		standard of comparison set by the	All LIS instructors make an effort
	conducted biannually		LIS faculty of 85%.	to embed technology skills into
	beginning in Spring Term		All of the student demonstrations	each course that are most
	2010 based on the offering of		met the technical requirements;	appropriate for that course;
	LIS 2600 the previous		the two demonstrations that	these results will help faculty
	Summer Term. The projects		exceeded expectations did so	plan.
	of approximately 20% of the		because of the clarity and	

Learning Outcomes What will students know and be able to do when they graduate?	Assessment Methods How will the outcome be measured? Who will be assessed, when, and how often?	Standards of Comparison How well should students be able to do on the assessment?	Interpretation of Results What do the data show?	Use of Results/Action Plan Who reviewed the finding? What changes were made after reviewing the results?
	students who completed the course will be included in the assessment. (In Summer Term 2009, 33 students were enrolled)		simplicity of the directions provided and the effectiveness of the oral communication skills of those students	